

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,862 11/20/2001		1/20/2001	Hanna Albert Awad		6098	
7:	590	09/24/2002				
Hanna Albert	Awad		EXAMINER			
Ajaltown Kesrowan,				NGUYEN, HOANG M		
LEBANON				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3748		
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4		E				
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
•		09/886,8	362	AWAD, HANNA	ALBERT				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	∍r	Art Unit					
		Hoang M	1 Nguyen	3748					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress				
THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum street to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply.	event, however, may a re atutory minimum of thirt will expire SIX (6) MON' oplication to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on <u>15 August 20</u>	<u>02</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action i	s non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in t	he application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from c	onsideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
•	The specification is objected to by th								
10) 🗌 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:								
_	Applicant may not request that any ob		•		i i				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
,	The oath or declaration is objected to	b by the Examiner.							
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)l	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interescent the attached detailed Office actions.	national Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).		al Stage				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provision	al application).				
) The translation of the foreign la Acknowledgment is made of a claim								
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Imation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		· —	Summary (PTO-413) Paper N Informal Patent Application (F					

Art Unit 3748

Applicant's amendment dated August 15, 2002, has been fully considered.

First, it's noted that Applicant did not response properly to the examiner's Office Action.

Applicant did not 1) rewrite the specification to clearly disclose the invention, 2) applicant did not rewrite the claims in the acceptable format, 3) applicant did not address the applied references in the rejections properly.

As noted in many informal telephone conversations, it seems like Applicant does not understand how to write a patent application, and how to write proper claims. To get a patent, Applicant must have a new invention (meaning nobody has done that before), and his invention must not be obvious. After that, his new invention must be claimed properly. In this case, applicant fail to present allowable subject matter in his claims. In all claims, Applicant simply recites "the right for the design, production and sale of the pumps...etc... as explained in the invention." This is not acceptable. Please note the disclosure cannot be used to recite the invention. The allowable subject matter must be recited in all claims. For example, if applicant would like to claim the pump, he must recite all allowable subject matter about the pump in the claims, not by referring to the specification.

Furthermore, Applicant added new matter in the specification. Several specific limitations such as "If the area of cylinder 2 is 7.6 smaller than area of cylinder 1, then..." are newly added because said limitations were not in the specification before. Please note Applicant is not

Art Unit: 3748

authorized to add new matter after filing the application.

Applicant did not even follow the instruction given by the Examiner in the previous Office Action to rewrite his specification and claims in proper format. For example, Applicant did not even provide claim numbers for his claims even though the Examiner has reminded applicant to refer to his instruction and cited patents to rewrite his application.

For the reasons noted above, this Office Action has been made final as follows.

1. This application is informal in the arrangement of the specification. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to "Microfiche Appendix" and the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications.
- © Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix" (see 37 CFR 1.96).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
 - 1. Field of the Invention.
 - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (I) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).

Art Unit: 3748

- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (1) Sequence Listing (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).
- 2. Applicant is advised on how to arrange the content of the specification.

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a). The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification. It should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- © Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See 37CFR 1.96© and MPEP § 608.05. The total number of microfiche and the total number frames should be specified.
- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) <u>Description of the Related Art</u>: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) <u>Brief Summary of the Invention</u>: A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/886,862

Art Unit: 3748

or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. This item may also be titled "Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention." Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (I) <u>Claim or Claims</u>: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet. (37 CFR 1.52(b)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps.
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 250 words or less on a separate sheet following the claims.
- (k) <u>Drawings</u>: See 37 CFR 1.81, 1.83-1.85, and MPEP § 608.02.
- (1) <u>Sequence Listing</u>: See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of 37 CFR 1.71(a)-(c):

(a) The specification must include a written description of the invention or discovery and of the manner and process of making and using the same, and is required to be in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art or science to which the invention or discovery appertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same.

- (b) The specification must set forth the precise invention for which a patent is solicited, in such manner as to distinguish it from other inventions and from what is old. It must describe completely a specific embodiment of the process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter or improvement invented, and must explain the mode of operation or principle whenever applicable. The best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention must be set forth.
- © In the case of an improvement, the specification must particularly point out the part or parts of the process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter to which the improvement relates, and the description should be confined to the specific improvement and to such parts as necessarily cooperate with it or as may be necessary to a complete understanding or description of it.

The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 because failing to adequately teach how to make and/or use the invention, and the newly submitted specification includes new matter.

Applicant fails to describe how the invention works and how the elements are connected together. Please refer to the patents cited herein to rewrite the specification to enable a person having ordinary skill in the art to understand.

In the amendment dated August 15, 2002, several specific limitations such as "If the area of cylinder 2 is 7.6 smaller than area of cylinder 1, then..." are newly added because said limitations were not in the specification before. Please note Applicant is not authorized to add new matter after filing the application.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph for the reasons set forth above.

Art Unit: 3748

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

In both claims 1-2, applicant fails to explain how the elements are connected or related to form the cycle or the third source as claimed.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. 5622605 (Simpson et al).

Simpson et al discloses a cycle having more than two sources of temperatures by having two boilers 74, 77, the output of the two boiler is delivered to the steam pipe 102 which is higher than the temperatures of both boilers. Regarding the concept of making oxygen and hydrogen, please note in the specification, this concept is simply the vaporization of water. The system of

Art Unit: 3748

Simpson discloses the vaporization of water in which water turns into steam which clearly comprises hydrogen and oxygen as claimed.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. 5477882 (Duthie). Duthie discloses a system having more than one pump (11, 12, 13, 30), the output of said pumps are connected to an inlet manifold 18, which is clearly in a higher pressure than each single pump.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3748

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday--Thursday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Any inquiry concerning any general questions regarding patent examining policies and procedures should be directed to Patent Assistance Center (PAC) at 800-PTO-9199 or (703)-308-HELP (703)-308-4357), or Customer Service of TC 3700 at (703) 306-5648.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion, can be reached on (703)-308-2623. The fax phone number for the Examiner is (703) 746-4559.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

HOANG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen September 23, 2002